

EXHIBIT 6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

In re: VIOXX

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This document relates to
All Private Third-Party Payor
Cases

MDL Docket No. 1657

SECTION L

JUDGE FALLON

MAGISTRATE JUDGE KNOWLES

PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 38

Whereas, this Court has pending before it more than 45 claims by private health benefit providers (“third-party payors” or “TPPs,” a list of which is attached as Exhibit A) that were either filed directly in the Court or transferred to this proceeding by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation; and

Whereas Plaintiffs believe these MDL proceedings are now ripe to advance the adjudication of rights and responsibilities of the parties with respect to the claims brought by third party payors; and

Whereas Merck seeks to present dispositive motions to the Court challenging the legal sufficiency of plaintiffs’ claims in the TPP cases; and

Whereas, the parties wish to proceed with merits discovery in the TPP cases in the event they are not dismissed; and

Whereas, the parties seek to advance the preparation of bellwether TPP claims for trial in this proceeding or their transferor courts;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

I. APPLICABILITY OF ORDER

This Order shall govern: (1) all TPP cases transferred to this Court by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, pursuant to its Order of February 16, 2005; (2) any TPP actions subsequently transferred to this Court by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of that Panel; and (3) all related TPP cases originally filed in this Court or removed to this Court. The parties, after consultation, may seek additional orders relating to these claims, and the Court may, sua sponte, supplement or amend this order at any time. This Order supplements PTO Nos. 16 and 17 and the amendments to those orders. The deadlines set forth in this Order supersede all deadlines in previous PTOs related to class action cases pending in this MDL.

Except as specifically provided in this order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall apply to these proceedings.

II. FILING OF PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 38

A copy of this Order shall be filed in each TPP case transferred to this District and shall apply to all such cases removed to, or transferred to, this Court and cases filed in this Court that are within the subject matter of this Order. In cases subsequently filed, a copy will be provided by the Clerk to each plaintiff at the time of filing the complaint. In cases subsequently removed or transferred to this Court, a copy will be provided by the Clerk to each new party upon removal or transfer.

III. TPP TRIAL CASE SELECTION

The following procedure will govern the identification and selection of six TPPs whose claims will be adjudicated separately in a succession of bellwether trials.

- A. No later than April 6, 2009, each side shall select ten TPPs for the initial discovery exchanges set forth in Section V.A of this Order (the “Bellwether TPPs”). Any private TPP named as a plaintiff in any complaint (class action or non-class action) asserting economic loss claims shall be eligible for selection by the parties. The parties shall endeavor to select cases whose resolution through trial or dispositive motions will provide helpful information to the Court and the parties about the TPP claims.
- B. No later than June 10, 2009, each side shall identify five of the ten Bellwether TPPs as lead trial cases (the “TPP Trial Cases”). If a party fails to timely complete service of initial discovery exchanges pursuant to Section V.A of this Order, the opposing side shall be entitled to elect to delay the identification of TPP Trial Cases until 14 days after initial discovery exchanges are completed.
- C. On September 8, 2009, or ninety days after TPP Trial Cases are identified pursuant to Section III.B above, each side will be permitted to strike two of the five cases identified by the opposing side.
- D. The Court will thereafter determine the order in which the six remaining cases will be set for trial.

IV. DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS

On April 20, 2009, or within 10 days of the filing of this Pretrial Order, Plaintiffs may file amended complaints.

For any case in which Plaintiffs elect to file an amended complaint, Merck shall have until June 25, 2009, or 15 days after the identification of TPP Trial Cases,

whichever is later, to answer or move to dismiss the amended complaint. Merck shall file motions to dismiss or for judgment on the pleadings in all TPP Trial Cases, whether or not Plaintiffs file an amended complaint, by June 25, 2009, or within 15 days of the identification of TPP Trial Cases, whichever is later. Plaintiffs shall file oppositions within 30 days of Merck's filing (expected by July 27, 2009), and Merck shall file replies 15 days thereafter (expected by August 11, 2009).

The Court will issue an order on Merck's motions to dismiss and/or for judgment on the pleadings following the completion of the foregoing briefing schedule and an opportunity for oral argument. If such motions are denied, it shall be without prejudice to Merck's ability to file summary judgment motions after the conduct of discovery.

V. DISCOVERY AND TRIAL

A. Schedule

The following schedule shall govern discovery regarding the claims asserted in this proceeding by any TPP named as a plaintiff in any complaint (class action or non-class action) asserting economic loss claims:

1. By April 10, 2009, the Bellwether TPPs shall disclose the identity of any pharmacy benefits manager (PBM) or third-party administrator (TPA) used by the TPP for the provision of pharmacy benefits to its members, beneficiaries, or insureds during the time period January 1, 1998, through December 31, 2006 (the "Relevant Time Period"), and shall file a notice with the Court certifying the disclosure to Merck.¹

¹ Counsel for TPP Plaintiffs will disclose all available information by April 10, 2009, and reserves the right to supplement these disclosures should such supplementation prove necessary.

2. By May 8, 2009, each remaining TPP shall disclose the identity of any PBM or TPA used by the TPP for the provision of pharmacy benefits to its members, beneficiaries, or insureds during the Relevant Time Period, and shall file a notice with the Court certifying the disclosure to Merck. The parties disagree on the issue of whether discovery related to the remaining TPPs should be permitted while the discovery of Bellwether TPPs and TPP Trial Cases is ongoing, and will present the issue to the Court at a future date.
3. No later than May 27, 2009, each Bellwether TPP shall serve Merck the following:
 - a. The number of prescriptions reimbursed, and amount paid for those prescriptions, by drug and by month for the Relevant Time Period, for the following drugs, listed as branded (generic) (hereinafter referred to as the “Relevant Drugs”):
 - (1) Anthrotec (diclofenac/misoprostol)
 - (2) Bextra (valdecoxib)
 - (3) Celebrex (Celecoxib)
 - (4) Daypro (oxaprolin)
 - (5) Ibuprofen
 - (6) Mobic (meloxicam)

As provided below, Merck shall have 45 days to respond from the date of any such supplementation.

- (7) Naprosyn, Anaprox, Naprelan, Aleve (naproxen)
 - (8) Relafen (nabumetone)
 - (9) Vioxx (rofecoxib)
 - (10) Voltaren (diclofenac);
- b. Copies of all formularies for prescription drugs used during the Relevant Time Period;
- c. Rosters or lists of members of any P&T Committee (or related committees) making formulary decisions for the TPP's pharmaceutical/prescription drug benefits plan(s) during the Relevant Time Period, including the name, address, and affiliated entity of each member;
- d. Minutes of any P&T Committee (or related committees) making formulary decisions for the TPP's pharmaceutical/prescription drug benefits plan(s) pertaining to review of the Relevant Drugs for the Relevant Time Period;
- e. Documents sufficient to show the benefit design for pharmaceutical benefits the TPP provided to members in any pharmaceutical benefits plan, including Documents showing any changes to the benefit design during the Relevant Time Period;
- f. Documents showing the amount of rebates, discounts, or price concessions that the TPP received from any suppliers

- or manufacturers of the Relevant Drugs, or from PBMs or TPAs, for purchases or utilization of the Relevant Drugs during the Relevant Time Period;
- g. Any communications between the TPP, on the one hand, and the suppliers or manufacturers of the Relevant Drugs, the P&T Committee, or any PBM or TPA, on the other, regarding the Relevant Drugs during the Relevant Time Period;
 - h. Documents sufficient to identify all self-insured plans for which the TPP asserts claims;
 - i. Copies of any promotional materials or advertisements relating to the Relevant Drugs that the TPP received;
 - j. Identification of the TPP's senior-most employee(s) or consultant(s) that interacted with Merck National Account Executives (NAEs) and/or Contract Managers (CMs) during the Relevant Time Period; and
 - k. Identification of the TPP's senior-most employee(s) with responsibility for administration of its pharmacy benefits during the Relevant Time Period.
4. By May 27, 2009, or within 45 days of the disclosure of the identity of any PBM or TPA used by the Bellwether TPPs, whichever is later, Merck shall serve the following:

- a. The identity of NAEs or CMs who called on or interacted with the Bellwether TPPs or their PBMs or TPAs during the Relevant Time Period (to be produced before May 27, 2009 if practicable);
- b. Communications between Merck NAEs and CMs and each Bellwether TPP and/or their PBMs regarding Vioxx contained in the relevant NAE or CM custodial file;
- c. Identification of the senior-most Merck employees, consultants and/or independent contractors having responsibility for advertising, promoting, marketing and/or selling Vioxx either to each Bellwether TPP or to physicians writing prescriptions located in the primary state of service of each TPP;
- d. The identity of all NAEs and CMs for whom Merck has already produced custodial files in the New Jersey TPP Litigation, and the identity of any PBM or TPA contract file that has been produced in the New Jersey TPP Litigation;²
- e. To the extent reasonably available, information showing the total rebates paid, or discounts or price concessions provided, to each Bellwether TPP and/or its PBM or TPA for purchases of Vioxx;

² The parties agree to meet and confer on the mechanics of production of any materials previously produced in New Jersey no later than ten days prior to the date of production.

- f. Any calculations, projections, or analyses conducted by Merck relating to the utilization of each Bellwether TPP, including any discounts or rebates calculations based on such utilization for Relevant Products, that are contained in the custodial file of any NAE or CM identified in subparagraph a., above; and
 - g. To the extent not already produced in the New Jersey TPP litigation, the custodial file of any NAE or CM that called on the TPPs or the PBMs or TPAs that served the Bellwether TPPs during the Relevant Time Period.
- 5. By April 21, 2009, or within 15 days of the selection of the Bellwether TPPs, each party may propound up to ten (10) interrogatories to the opposing party in each case. These interrogatories shall not be counted against the limits imposed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33, which shall be applicable to discovery under Section V.A.7.
- 6. By April 21, 2009, or within 15 days of the selection of the Bellwether TPPs, each party may propound up to five (5) requests for production of documents on discovery topics that are not necessarily specific to Bellwether TPPs.
- 7. Upon the identification of TPP Trial Cases, the parties may commence written discovery and depositions with respect to the

TPP Trial Cases as permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

8. Absent leave of Court, written discovery and fact depositions in the TPP Trial Cases shall be completed by October 9, 2009.
9. Plaintiffs' Expert Disclosures in the TPP Trial Cases shall be served no later than August 1, 2009.
10. Merck's Expert Disclosures in the TPP Trial Cases shall be served no later than September 1, 2009.
11. Plaintiffs' Rebuttal Expert Reports in the TPP Trial Cases shall be served no later than September 14, 2009.
12. All dispositive motions, including summary judgment and *Daubert* motions, in the TPP Trial Cases shall be filed no later than September 15, 2009.
13. All oppositions to summary judgment and *Daubert* motions shall be filed no later than October 2, 2009.
14. All replies to oppositions to summary judgment and *Daubert* motions shall be filed no later than October 13, 2009.
15. Trial in the first TPP Trial Case selected for trial by the Court shall commence in November 2009.

The dates contemplated above are set forth in the following chart:

Event	Anticipated Date
Identification of Bellwether TPP Plaintiffs (20 total)	April 6, 2009
Bellwether TPPs shall disclose the identity of any PBM or TPA used	April 9, 2009

during the Relevant Time Period	
Each party may propound up to ten (10) interrogatories to the opposing party in each case.	April 21, 2009
Each party may propound up to five (5) requests for the production of documents to the opposing party in each case	April 21, 2009
Remaining TPPS to disclose the identity of any PBM or TPA used during the Relevant Time Period	May 8, 2009
Initial Discovery Exchanges by Bellwether TPPs and Merck	May 27, 2009
Each side to identify five TPP Trial Cases	June 10, 2009
Merck shall file motions to dismiss or for judgment on the pleadings in the TPP Trial Cases	June 25, 2009
Plaintiffs shall file oppositions to Merck's motions to dismiss	July 27, 2009
Service of Plaintiffs' expert reports in TPP Trial Cases	August 1, 2009
Merck shall file replies in response to Plaintiffs' oppositions to Merck's motions to dismiss or for judgment on the pleadings	August 11, 2009
Service of Merck's expert reports in TPP Trial Cases	September 1, 2009
Each side will be permitted to strike two of the five TPP Trial Cases identified by the opposing side	September 8, 2009
Service of Plaintiffs' rebuttal expert reports in TPP Trial Cases	September 14, 2009
Any Rule 56 or <i>Daubert</i> motions, and	September 15, 2009

proposed common questions (in the form of Rule 49 jury questions and/or proposed findings for the Court) to be filed and served	
Any Oppositions to Rule 56 or <i>Daubert</i> motions to be filed and served	October 2, 2009
Written discovery and fact depositions in the TPP Trial Cases shall be completed	October 9, 2009
Replies (if any) to Rule 56 or <i>Daubert</i> motions to be filed and served	October 13, 2009
First TPP Trial	November 2009

B. Use of Prior Discovery

Third party payor counsel, the TPPs and Merck shall utilize to the fullest extent practicable discovery previously taken in these MDL proceedings. The PSC shall ensure that counsel for the TPPs has full access to the MDL discovery (documents, databases, depositions, answers to interrogatories, expert reports, trial transcripts, trial exhibits, and formal discovery and other relevant information to claims relating to Vioxx) along with the trial package(s).

The Court reserves its ruling until a later date on the assessment for the use of these materials. Use of these materials shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege associated with the materials.

Documents produced by Merck in discovery in the New Jersey TPP Litigation shall be deemed produced in any given TPP action. The parties shall meet and confer concerning the identification and production of materials produced by Merck

in the New Jersey TPP litigation. Questions about admissibility of these materials into evidence is not being addressed in this Order and is reserved for future consideration.

To ensure the closest coordination of TPP discovery between this MDL and the New Jersey TPP Litigation, Merck shall provide notice of each deposition to be taken in the New Jersey TPP Litigation (as soon as practicable from the date of original scheduling or rescheduling) to counsel for the Bellwether TPPs. Merck and/or counsel for the Bellwether TPPs may thereafter cross-notice any such deposition. Similarly, counsel for the Bellwether TPPs shall provide notice of each deposition to be taken in this MDL relating to TPP litigation (as soon as practicable from the date of original scheduling or rescheduling) to plaintiffs' counsel in the New Jersey TPP Litigation (and such counsel may cross-notice such depositions).

C. **Confidentiality of Documents**

TPP counsel and Merck shall execute (if necessary), abide by, and utilize PTO No. 13, relating to the confidentiality of documents. In addition, the parties shall prepare and agree to any additional protective order that is necessary to allow use of discovery from the New Jersey TPP Litigation.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 14th day of April, 2009.



ELDON E. FALLON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Exhibit A -- List of TPPs

	Plaintiff	Case Name	MDL Docket No.	Fed. District Court	Fed District Court Case No.
1	Allied Services Division Welfare Fund	Alexander, Betty and Allied Services Division Welfare Fund, on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated Representative Plaintiffs v. Merck	05-0059	E.D. Louisiana	N/A
2	American Federation of State County and Municipal Employees	Seitz, Christine , American Federation of State & Federal Employees, et al v. Merck	05-0749 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	E.D. Louisiana	N/A
3	Blue Cross of Northeastern Pennsylvania, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated	Blue Cross of Northeastern Pennsylvania, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Merck	05-03115	N.D. Alabama	05-1070
4	Bricklayers of Indiana Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
5	Cavalier Homes, Inc.	Cavalier Homes, Inc. v. Merck	05-1075, 05-04850 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	D. New Jersey	04-6383 and 05-03796

	Plaintiff	Case Name	MDL Docket No.	Fed. District Court	Fed District Court Case No.
6	Commonwealth Care Alliance, Healthcare For All	Commonwealth Care Alliance, Healthcare For All and Emily Feinberg v. Merck	05-cv-02923 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	D. Massachusetts	05-10931
7	County of Suffolk, New York	The County of Suffolk NY v. Merck	05-02294 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	E.D. New York	05-1582
8	Dealers-Unions Insurance Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
9	Frankenmuth Financial Group	Frankenmuth Financial Group and Linda Watters v. Merck	05-2280 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	E.D. Michigan	05-71577
10	Health Plan of San Mateo	Health Plan of San Mateo v. Merck		E.D. Louisiana	09-3115
11	Health Plus of Louisiana	Health Plus of Louisiana v. Merck	05-01644	E.D. Louisiana	N/A

	Plaintiff	Case Name	MDL Docket No.	Fed. District Court	Fed District Court Case No.
12	HMO Louisiana	HMO Louisiana v. Merck		E.D. Louisiana	09-3116
13	IBEW 673 Fringe Benefit Funds	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
14	IBEW Local 129 Fringe Benefit Funds	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
15	IBEW Local 32 Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
16	IBEW Local 683 Fringe Benefit Funds	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
17	Indiana Bricklayers Local No. 6 Welfare Trust Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349

	Plaintiff	Case Name	MDL Docket No.	Fed. District Court	Fed District Court Case No.
18	Indiana Carpenters Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
19	Indiana Electrical Workers Benefit Trust	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
20	Indiana State Council of Carpenters Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
21	Indiana State Council of Roofers Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
22	Indiana State District Council of Laborers and Hod Carriers Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
23	Linda Watters, Commissioner, Offices of Financial and Insurance Services for the State of Michigan in her capacity as Liquidator of Michigan Health Maintenance Organization Plans, Inc., formerly known as	Frankenmuth Financial Group and Linda Watters v. Merck	05-2280 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	E.D. Michigan	05-71577

	Plaintiff	Case Name	MDL Docket No.	Fed. District Court	Fed District Court Case No.
24	Linda Watters, Commissioner, Offices of Financial and Insurance Services for the State of Michigan in her capacity as Rehabilitator of The Wellness Plan.	Frankenmuth Financial Group and Linda Watters v. Merck	05-2280 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	E.D. Michigan	05-71577
25	Local 237 Teamsters Brentwood School District Health & Welfare Trust Fund	Teamsters Local 237 Health & Welfare Fund, et al v. Merck	05-516 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	S.D. New York	04-9248
26	Local 237 Teamsters North Babylon School District Health & Welfare Trust Fund	Teamsters Local 237 Health & Welfare Fund, et al v. Merck	05-516 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	S.D. New York	04-9248
27	Local 237 Teamsters Plainview Old Bethpage Central School District Health & Welfare Trust Fund	Teamsters Local 237 Health & Welfare Fund, et al v. Merck	05-516 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	S.D. New York	04-9248
28	Local 237 Teamsters Retirees Benefit Fund	Teamsters Local 237 Health & Welfare Fund, et al v. Merck	05-516 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	S.D. New York	04-9248
29	Local 237 Teamsters Suffolk Regional Off Track Betting Corporation Health & Welfare Trust Fund	Teamsters Local 237 Health & Welfare Fund, et al v. Merck	05-516 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	S.D. New York	04-9248
30	Louisiana Health Service Indemnity Co d/b/a BlueCross/BlueShield of Louisiana	Louisiana Health Service Indemnity Co d/b/a BlueCross/BlueShield of Louisiana on behalf of itself and others similarly situated v. Merck	05-0713	E.D. Louisiana	N/A

	Plaintiff	Case Name	MDL Docket No.	Fed. District Court	Fed District Court Case No.
31	Michiana Area Electrical Workers Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
32	Midwest Operating Engineers Health & Welfare Fund	Midwest Operating Engineers Health & Welfare Fund v. Merck AND Anderson, Christie et al v. Merck Note: Case on both TPP and Consumer Lists	05-3424, 05-4850, 05-2525 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	D. New Jersey	05-03796
33	Motion Picture Laboratory Technicians and Film Editors Local 780 Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
34	Ohio State IBEW Health & Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
35	Painters District Council No 30 Health and Welfare	UFCW 1776 and Participating Employers Health & Welfare Fund & Painters District Council No. 30 Health & Welfare Fund v. Merck	05-1111 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	E.D. Pennsylvania	05-CV0020
36	Painters Local No. 469 Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
37	Painting Industry Insurance and Annuity Funds	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349

	Plaintiff	Case Name	MDL Docket No.	Fed. District Court	Fed District Court Case No.
38	Pipe Trades Industry Health and Welfare Plan	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
39	Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 42 Health and Welfare Plan	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
40	Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 440 Health and Welfare Plan	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
41	Plumbers and Steamfitters Local No. 166 Health and Welfare Plan	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
42	Plumbers Local No. 210 Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
43	Service Employee International Union Local No. 3 Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349

	Plaintiff	Case Name	MDL Docket No.	Fed. District Court	Fed District Court Case No.
44	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare and Benefit Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
45	Southern Ohio Painters Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349
46	Teamsters Local 237 Welfare Fund	Teamsters Local 237 Health & Welfare Fund, et al v. Merck	05-516 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	S.D. New York	04-9248
47	UFCW Local 1776	UFCW 1776 and Participating Employers Health & Welfare Fund & Painters District Council No. 30 Health & Welfare Fund v. Merck	05-1111 and Purchase Claims Master Class Action Complaint	E.D. Pennsylvania	05-CV0020
48	United Food and Commercial Workers Union-Employer Health and Welfare Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare	05-2269	S.D. Indiana	05-0349